UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/448,804	11/24/1999	DAVID L. SALGADO	D/99253-690	5473
CLARENCE A	7590 03/13/200 GREEN	EXAMINER		
PERMAN & G	REEN LLP		PANNALA, SATHYANARAYA R	
425 POST ROAD FAIRFIELD, CT 06430			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2164	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/13/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application Number	Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination	
	09/448,804	SALGADO ET AL.	
		Art Unit	
	Sathyanarayan Pannala	2164	
Document Code - AP.PRE.	DEC		

Notice of Panel Decision from Pre-Appeal Brief Review

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Request for Review	w filed <u>Feb. 10, 2009</u> .
1. Improper Request – The Request is improper and reason(s):	a conference will not be held for the following
 ☐ The Notice of Appeal has not been filed concurrer ☐ The request does not include reasons why a revie ☐ A proposed amendment is included with the Pre-A ☐ Other: 	ew is appropriate.
The time period for filing a response continues to run from the mail date of the last Office communication, if no Notice	
2. Proceed to Board of Patent Appeals and Interfer held. The application remains under appeal because there is required to submit an appeal brief in accordance with 3 brief will be reset to be one month from mailing this decisi running from the receipt of the notice of appeal, whicheve appeal brief is extendible under 37 CFR 1.136 based upo of the notice of appeal, as applicable.	e is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant 7 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal on, or the balance of the two-month time period r is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the
☐ The panel has determined the status of the claim Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	n(s) is as follows:
3. Allowable application – A conference has been he Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution on the merits remain applicant at this time.	
4. ☐ Reopen Prosecution – A conference has been hele action will be mailed. No further action is required by app	
All participants:	
(1) <u>Sathyanarayan Pannala</u> .	3) <u>/Eddie C. Lee/</u> .
(2) <u>Charles Rones</u> . (4	4)